



Meeting Agenda: Thursday, March 25, 2021, 7:00 a.m.

City of Moscow Council Chambers • 206 E 3<sup>rd</sup> Street • Moscow, ID 83843  
(A) = Board Action Item

1. **Consent Agenda (A)** - Any item will be removed from the consent agenda at the request of any member of the Board and that item will be considered separately later.
  - A. Minutes from February 18, 2021
  - B. February 2021 Payables
  - C. February 2021 Financials**ACTION:** Approve the consent agenda or take such other action deemed appropriate.
2. **Public Comment**

Members of the public may speak to the Board regarding matters NOT on the Agenda nor currently pending before the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency. Please state your name and resident city for the record and limit your remarks to three minutes.
3. **Agency FY2020 Audit Presentation (A) – Renee Tack**

The 2020 MURA audit is attached and will be presented by MURA Treasurer Renee Tack and the auditors, Presnell Gage PLLC.

**ACTION:** Receive report and accept the 2020 MURA audit; or take such other action deemed appropriate.
4. **2020 MURA Annual Report Review (A) – Bill Belknap**

In accordance with State Statute, all urban renewal agencies are required to file with the local governing body by March 31<sup>st</sup> of each year an annual report describing the activities of the agency for the preceding year. Agencies are required to hold a public meeting to report the findings of the annual report and to take comments from the public prior to filing the report with the governing body. Staff has prepared the draft 2020 Annual Report for public comment and the Board's review and approval.

**ACTION:** Accept public comment and approve the 2020 Annual Report and associated Resolution 2021-01; or take other action as deemed appropriate.
5. **2021 Capital Projects Review (A) – Bill Belknap**

Staff will provide a report on the capital projects programmed for construction in 2021 within the Agency's adopted Capital Improvement Plan.

**ACTION:** Receive report.
6. **General Agency Updates – Bill Belknap**
  - Legacy Crossing District
  - Alturas District
  - General Agency Business

**NOTICE:** Individuals attending the meeting who require special assistance to accommodate physical, hearing, or other impairments, please contact the City Clerk, at (208) 883-7015 or TIDD 883-7019, as soon as possible so that arrangements may be made.



City of Moscow Council Chambers • 206 E 3<sup>rd</sup> Street • Moscow, ID 83843

Commissioners Present	Commissioners Absent	Staff in Attendance
Steve McGeehan, Chair		Bill Belknap, Executive Director
Mark Beauchamp (virtual)		Jennifer Fleischman, Clerk
Art Bettge		Renee Tack, Treasurer
Steve Drown (virtual)		
Dave McGraw (virtual)		
Brandy Sullivan		
Nancy Tribble		

McGeehan called the meeting to order at 7:04 a.m.

**1. Consent Agenda**

*Any item will be removed from the consent agenda at the request of any member of the Board and that item will be considered separately later.*

- A. Minutes from December 17, 2020
- B. December 2020 Payables
- C. December 2020 Financials
- D. January 2021 Payables
- E. January 2021 Financials

Bettge moved for approval of the consent agenda as presented, seconded by Sullivan. Motion carried unanimously.

**2. Public Comment**

*Members of the public may speak to the Board regarding matters NOT on the Agenda nor currently pending before the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency. Please state your name and resident city for the record and limit your remarks to three minutes.*

None.

**3. Election of Officers for 2021**

*Per the Agency's bylaws, annual officer elections are to be held at the first meeting of the year. The Agency will elect and appoint officers for the positions of Chairperson, Vice Chairperson and Secretary.*

McGeehan indicated he was willing to continue as Chair, if nominated. Bettge agreed and suggested that all officer appointments could continue as is.

Tribble nominated McGeehan for Chair, Sullivan for Vice Chair, and Bettge for Secretary. Bettge seconded the motion to elect Steve McGeehan as Chair, Brandy Sullivan as Vice Chair, and Art Bettge as Secretary for the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency for 2021. Motion carried unanimously.

**4. Alturas Lot Sale Process Proposal**

*Following the closure of the Alturas Urban Renewal District in 2015, the Agency has continued to own and market the six remaining lots within Phase II for development. The lots within Phase II had private*

*use restrictions which could not be removed until 2019. Following the failure of several development proposals, the Agency amended the covenants to remove the use restrictions this year and pursued a new appraisal of the market value of the lots without the use restrictions. The appraisal was completed and the lots were advertised for sale beginning on January 2, with a sealed bid opening on February 4<sup>th</sup>. Two bids were received for Lot 4, Block 2 in the amounts of \$155,000 and \$169,420. Staff recommends accepting the highest bid in the amount of \$169,420 and authorizing the Chair's signature upon the purchase sale agreement and warranty deed.*

Belknap reviewed the background of the lot sale and bid process that was approved by the Board in November of 2020 and gave a summary of the bid opening that occurred on February 4<sup>th</sup>, as described above. Belknap recommended that the highest bid be accepted and that the Agency re-advertise for the remaining 5 lots. The unsuccessful bidder for Lot 4, Block 2 has indicated potential interest in purchasing one of the remaining lots, and there have been inquiries for two other lots.

The proposed use of Lot 4, Block 4 is not yet known. BT-Altius, LLC is represented by William Tate, who is also a co-owner of Bio-Tracking. The use of the lot is limited to what is permitted in the Zoning Code, which is primarily professional, research, medical, service, or technology businesses. Costs for closing and advertising for the lots will be minimal and the proceeds from the sales will go back to the taxing districts.

Sullivan moved to accept the bid from BT-Altius of \$169,420 for Lot 4 on Block 2, and to authorize the Chair's signature upon the purchase sale agreement and warranty deed, seconded by Bettge. Motion carried unanimously.

McGeehan directed Staff to publish a new request for bids for the remaining 5 lots.

#### **5. Request for Amendment to the Schedule of Performance from Roderick Olps**

*On March 7, 2019 the Board approved an Exclusive Negotiation Agreement (ENA) with Roderick Olps for the disposition and development of the Agency's Sixth and Jackson property. On March 5<sup>th</sup>, the Board approved an extension to the ENA schedule of performance to allow additional time to have his development plans reviewed by the Moscow Planning and Zoning Commission for conformance with the City's design guidelines before submitting the final Phase II plans for the Board's approval. As a result of the extension approval, the date for submission of the Phase II development plans was extended to April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Shortly after the March 5<sup>th</sup> meeting, the COVID-19 pandemic expanded significantly within the United States and resulted in a number of local and state emergency orders which resulted in the cancellation of Commission meetings and largely yet unknown adverse economic impacts to the national and regional economies. On May 15, 2020, Mr. Olps submitted a request to extend the current ENA schedule to the end of the 2020 calendar year to allow time to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic upon the local economy and viability of his proposed development project. The Board approved the extension and Mr. Olps provided an update to the Board at the December 17, 2020 meeting. Staff has prepared an amended Schedule of Performance for the Board's review and approval, and Mr. Olps would like to provide the Board with an update of his development proposal.*

Belknap reviewed the current Exclusive Negotiation Agreement (ENA) that the Agency has with Roderick Olps and the progression of the Agency's Sixth and Jackson development plans, as described above.

Roderick Olps presented on the proposed amendment to the Sixth and Jackson property, which included an updated design plan for the buildings. The new design is lower density and would accommodate 10 residential and 7 commercial units. The residential units would higher-end luxury apartments or condominiums. The satellite building located on the southeast corner of the property plans is currently just a place-holder, to be designed in the future. He indicated his focus was on making the Hello Walk a destination, and wanted the buildings designed around it to create that focal. There would be a Lot Line Adjustment with the neighboring property, which Olps said he is amenable to. Olps' goal was to decrease

commercial use and increase single family dwelling use, but also maintain a balance of both in the amended plans.

The Board was grateful for Olps' ability to integrate the Agency's suggestions and requests into the newest proposal. Belknap presented the proposed timeline and amended ENA schedule of performance that was drafted in conjunction with Olps. Bicycle parking will be included in the Phase II Development Plan.

Sullivan moved to approve the amended ENA Schedule of Performance, seconded by Bettge. Motion carried unanimously.

#### **6. FY2022 MURA Budget Hearing Date Determination**

*In accordance with state law, the Agency must notify the County Clerk's office of the date of the Agency's public hearing on its annual budget by no later than April 30 each year. To allow adequate time for the budget development and review process, Staff is proposing the Agency set the hearing date for its FY2022 budget on Thursday, August 19, 2021, and seeks the Board's approval to notify the County Clerk accordingly.*

Belknap reviewed the legislation requiring URA's to notify the County when the annual budget hearing will be, as described above.

Bettge moved to set the FY2022 Annual Budget Hearing for Thursday August 19, seconded by Tribble. Motion carried unanimously.

#### **7. General Agency Updates – Bill Belknap**

- Legacy Crossing District
- Alturas District
- General Agency Business

New bills working through the State Legislators that could impact URA business. Senate Bill 1108 would affect taxing for a district and Senate Bill 1044 would limit an Agency's ability to use imminent domain.

McGeehan declared the meeting adjourned at 8:00 a.m.

---

Steve McGeehan, Agency Chair

---

Date



**Balance Sheet**  
**February 28, 2021**

	<u>Total Funds</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>	
Cash	80,221
Investments - LGIP	1,330,858
Investments-Zions Debt Reserve	44,313
Other Assets	5,260
Land Held For Resale	531,256
Land	679,420
<b>Total Assets</b>	<u><u>\$ 2,671,327</u></u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>	
Deposits Payable	5,000
Series 2010 Bond - due within one year	32,000
Latah County payback agreement - due within one year	5,000
Series 2010 Bond - due after one year	227,000
Latah County payback agreement - due after one year	89,537
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<u><u>358,537</u></u>
<b>FUND BALANCES</b>	
Net Investment in Capital Assets	433,732
Restricted Fund Balance	44,312
Unrestricted Fund Balance	1,834,747
<b>Total Fund Balance</b>	<u><u>2,312,790</u></u>
<b>Total Liabilities and Fund Balance</b>	<u><u>\$ 2,671,327</u></u>

February-21  
Checks by Date



Check Number	Vendor	Description	Check Date	Check Amount
4732	UCLAYTON	Clayton B. Anderson	02/02/2021	
	2/2/2021	Anderson OPA payment - 1st half 2020		441.55
Total for Check Number 4732:				441.55
4733	UBRINGTR	Bringham Living Trust	02/02/2021	
	2/2/2021	Bringham OPA payment 1st half 2020		1,430.42
Total for Check Number 4733:				1,430.42
4734	UCITYMOS	City of Moscow	02/02/2021	
	66333	MoscowURA.com registration		19.95
Total for Check Number 4734:				19.95
4735	UGRITMAN	Gritman Medal Park LLC	02/02/2021	
	02/02/2021	Gritman OPA payment - 1st half 2020		25,436.96
Total for Check Number 4735:				25,436.96
4736	USWANGER	Larry Swanger	02/02/2021	
	02/02/2021	Swanger OPA payment - 2020 full year		1,740.83
Total for Check Number 4736:				1,740.83
4737	UAVISTA	Avista Utilities	02/11/2021	
	1563734669	6th & Jackson service - January 2021		68.79
Total for Check Number 4737:				68.79

February-21  
Checks by Date



Check Number	Vendor	Description	Check Date	Check Amount
4738	UCITYMOS	City of Moscow	02/11/2021	
	4717875	City Admin. Services		4,347.25
	115911-000	City Utilities @ 6th & Jackson - January 2021		229.17
Total for Check Number 4738:				<u>4,576.42</u>
4739	UMOSPULD	Tribune Publishing Company	02/11/2021	
	161417	Legal notice: Request for bid proposals on Alturas lots		187.34
Total for Check Number 4739:				<u>187.34</u>
ACH		Zion's Bank	02/24/2021	
	2/24/2021	Bond Interest Paymant		2,574.36
Total for Check Number ACH:				<u>2,574.36</u>
<b>Total bills for February 2021:</b>				<b><u>\$ 36,476.62</u></b>

February-21  
Accounts Payable Checks for Approval



Check	Check Date	Fund Name	Vendor	Void	Amount
4732	02/02/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	Clayton B. Anderson		441.55
4733	02/02/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	Brigham Living Trust		1,430.42
4734	02/02/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	City of Moscow		19.95
4735	02/02/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	Critman Medical Park LLC		25,436.96
4736	02/02/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	Larry Swanger		1,740.83
4737	02/11/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	Avista Utilities		68.79
4738	02/11/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	City of Moscow		4,576.42
4739	02/11/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	Tribune Publishing Company		187.34
ACH	02/24/2021	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	Zion's Bank		2,574.36
Report Total:				0.00	36,476.62

\_\_\_\_\_  
Steve McGeehan, Chairperson

Accounts payable expenditures as contained herein were made in compliance with the duly adopted budget for the current fiscal year and according to Idaho law.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bill Belknap, Executive Director

\_\_\_\_\_  
Renee Tack, Treasurer



General Ledger  
Expense vs. Budget

February-21



Sort Level	Description	Budget	Period Amt	End Bal	Variance	% Budget Used
890	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency					
880	URA General Fund					
890-880-642-00	Administrative Services	\$ 52,168.00	\$ 4,347.25	\$ 21,736.25	\$ 30,431.75	41.67%
890-880-642-10	Professional Services-Exec Dir	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
890-880-642-15	Professional Services-Other	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 3,750.00	25.00%
890-880-642-20	Professional Services-Auditing	\$ 5,150.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,150.00	0.00%
890-880-642-89	Professional Services	\$ 500.00	\$ -	\$ 19.95	\$ 480.05	3.99%
890-880-644-10	Ad. & Marketing Expense-GF	\$ 750.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 750.00	0.00%
890-880-644-16	Land Sale Expenses	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 187.34	\$ 3,687.34	\$ 6,312.66	36.87%
890-880-668-10	Liability Insurance-General	\$ 1,575.00	\$ -	\$ 847.80	\$ 727.20	53.83%
E02	Contractual	\$ 75,143.00	\$ 4,534.59	\$ 27,541.34	\$ 47,601.66	36.65%
890-880-631-10	Postage Expense	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100.00	0.00%
890-880-631-20	Printing and Binding	\$ 400.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 400.00	0.00%
890-880-644-15	Alturas Marketing/Maintenance	\$ 3,750.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,750.00	0.00%
890-880-647-10	Travel & Meetings-General	\$ 500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500.00	0.00%
890-880-649-10	Professional Development	\$ 500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500.00	0.00%
890-880-669-10	Misc. Expense-General	\$ 500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500.00	0.00%
890-880-669-11	Dist. of Net Prop. Sale Procee	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
E03	Commodities	\$ 5,750.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,750.00	0.00%
880	URA General Fund	\$ 80,893.00	\$ 4,534.59	\$ 27,541.34	\$ 53,351.66	34.05%

General Ledger  
Expense vs. Budget

February-21



Sort Level	Description	Budget	Period Amt	End Bal	Variance	% Budget Used
895	URA Legacy District					
890-895-642-10	Professional Services-Legacy	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	0.00%
890-895-642-12	Land Sale Expense-Legacy	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	0.00%
890-895-644-10	Ad. & Marketing Expense-Legacy	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	0.00%
E02	Contractual	\$ 16,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,000.00	0.00%
890-895-647-10	Travel & Meetings-Legacy	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	0.00%
890-895-652-10	Heat, Lights & Utilities	\$ 3,400.00	\$ 297.96	\$ 1,138.43	\$ 2,261.57	33.48%
890-895-658-51	Development Participation	\$ 741,000.00	\$ -	\$ 7,832.50	\$ 733,167.50	1.06%
890-895-669-10	Misc. Expense-Legacy	\$ 500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500.00	0.00%
890-895-675-00	Fiscal Agent Trustee fees	\$ 1,800.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,800.00	0.00%
890-895-676-15	Latah County Reimb. Agreement	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	100.00%
890-895-676-17	Owner Participation Agreements	\$ 64,135.00	\$ 29,049.76	\$ 29,049.76	\$ 35,085.24	45.29%
E03	Commodities	\$ 816,835.00	\$ 29,347.72	\$ 43,020.69	\$ 773,814.31	5.27%
890-895-770-35	1% Public Art	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
890-895-770-71	Land-Legacy	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
890-895-770-73	Improvements-Legacy	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
E04	Capital Outlay	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
890-895-890-00	Transfer To: General Fund	\$ 62,541.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,541.00	0.00%
890-895-890-01	Transfer To: Capital Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
E10	Transfers To	\$ 62,541.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,541.00	0.00%

General Ledger  
Expense vs. Budget

February-21



Sort Level	Description	Budget	Period Amt	End Bal	Variance	% Budget Used
890-895-900-01	Contingency - Legacy	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000.00	0.00%
E90	Contingency	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000.00	0.00%
895	URA Legacy District	\$ 910,376.00	\$ 29,347.72	\$ 43,020.69	\$ 867,355.31	4.73%
899	Dept					
890-891-790-01	Bond Principal - Alturas	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
890-892-790-01	Bond Principal - Legacy	\$ 259,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 259,000.00	0.00%
890-892-791-01	Bond Interest - Legacy	\$ 11,370.00	\$ 2,574.36	\$ 2,574.36	\$ 8,795.64	22.64%
E05	Debt Service	\$ 270,370.00	\$ 2,574.36	\$ 2,574.36	\$ 267,795.64	0.95%
890-899-990-00	Ending Fund Bal Unassigned	\$ 50,772.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,772.00	0.00%
890-899-990-01	Ending Fund Balance Alturas	\$ 19,047.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,047.00	0.00%
890-892-990-01	End Fund Bal Assigned-Legacy	\$ 577,212.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 577,212.00	0.00%
890-892-990-05	End Fund Bal Res-Legacy	\$ 5,260.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,260.00	0.00%
E95	Ending Fund Balance	\$ 652,291.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 652,291.00	0.00%
899	Dept	\$ 922,661.00	\$ 2,574.36	\$ 2,574.36	\$ 920,086.64	0.28%
890	Moscow Urban Renewal Agency	\$ 1,913,930.00	\$ 36,456.67	\$ 73,136.39	\$ 1,840,793.61	3.82%

General Ledger  
Revenue Analysis

February 2021



Account Number	Description	Budgeted Revenue	Period Revenue	YTD Revenue	Uncollected Bal	% Avail/Uncollect	% Received
<b>890</b>	<b>Moscow Urban Renewal Agency</b>						
890-000-410-01	Property Taxes - Legacy	\$ 795,000.00	\$ 22,869.78	\$ 427,171.13	\$ 367,828.87	46.27%	53.73%
890-000-471-00	Investment Earnings	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 268.34	\$ 1,632.43	\$ 4,867.57	74.89%	25.11%
890-000-478-11	Sale of Land - Legacy	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000.00	100.00%	0.00%
890-000-498-96	Transfer In: Legacy	\$ 62,541.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,541.00	100.00%	0.00%
<b>890</b>	<b>Moscow Urban Renewal Agency</b>	<b>\$ 1,014,041.00</b>	<b>\$ 23,138.12</b>	<b>\$ 428,803.56</b>	<b>\$ 585,237.44</b>	<b>57.71%</b>	<b>42.29%</b>
<b>Revenue Total</b>		<b>\$ 1,014,041.00</b>	<b>\$ 23,138.12</b>	<b>\$ 428,803.56</b>	<b>\$ 585,237.44</b>	<b>57.71%</b>	<b>42.29%</b>



Moscow, Idaho

## Financial Statements



For The Fiscal Year Ended  
September, 30 2020

# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTORY SECTION	
Letter of Transmittal	3
FINANCIAL SECTION	
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	7
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A)	9
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Net Position	18
Statement of Activities	19
FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	20
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds	21
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Governmental Funds – General Fund	23
Budget and Actual – Governmental Funds – Legacy Crossing District Fund	24
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	25
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Independent Auditor's Report - <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	37



January 26, 2021

To the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency Board of Commissioners and Citizens of the City of Moscow:

We are pleased to submit to you the *Audited Financial Statements for the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency* (hereafter “the Agency”) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020.

Idaho State Law requires that all government development authorities submit audited financial statements to the entity that sponsored their corporate existence. For the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency, this entity is the City of Moscow. The statements must be presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS).

This report consists of management’s representations concerning the finances of the Agency. Consequently, management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of all of the information presented in this report. To provide a reasonable basis for making these representations, management has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed both to protect the Agency’s assets from loss, theft, or misuse and to compile sufficient and reliable information for the preparation of the Agency’s financial statements. Because the cost of internal controls should not outweigh their benefits, internal controls have been designed to provide reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the financial statements will be free from material misstatement. As management we assert that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this financial report is complete and reliable in all material respects.

The Agency’s financial statements have been audited by Presnell Gage, PLLC, a company of certified public accountants. The independent auditor concluded, based on the audit, that there was a reasonable basis for rendering an unmodified opinion on the Agency’s financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020.

The Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) immediately follows the independent auditor’s report and provides a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis of the basic financial statements. The MD&A complements this Letter of Transmittal and the two should be read in conjunction.

## **Profile of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency**

The Agency was organized by the Moscow City Council in 1995 pursuant to resolution 95-08 in accordance with *Idaho Urban Renewal Law*, Ch. 20, Title 50, *Idaho Code* (the “Law”) and the *Local Economic Development Act*, Ch. 29, Title 50, *Idaho Code* (the “Act”). The Agency acts as an arm of the Idaho State government entirely separate and distinct from the City of Moscow as provided in *Idaho Code* Section 50-2006.

The purpose of the Agency is to undertake urban renewal projects in areas designated by the City of Moscow to be deteriorating, and to undertake this rehabilitation, conservation, redevelopment, or a combination thereof, in the interest of the public health, safety, morals or welfare of the residents of the City of Moscow.

The Agency is comprised of seven Commissioners appointed by the Mayor, and confirmed by the City Council, with terms as specified by the Mayor, as authorized by Moscow City Council Resolution 2008-17. Membership is constituted as follows: Two (2) members of the Moscow City Council; One (1) member of the Latah County Commission; and, four (4) members from the citizenry at large. Terms are staggered in such a fashion that no more than three (3) expire in any given year. The Board of Commissioners elects the Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary from the ranks of the Commission. The Treasurer's office may be filled by Commissioners or by staff appointments made by the Commission.

The Chair is the chief presiding officer of the Agency. The Chair executes all deeds, bonds, contracts, and other legal documents authorized by the Commission. Some of the Chair's duties may be delegated by the Board of Commissions to the Agency's Executive Director, who oversees the day-to-day operations of the Agency and carries out the policies of the Board.

The City of Moscow is responsible for defining the geographic boundaries and legal creation of all urban renewal districts within the City. The Legacy Crossing District was created in 2008. The Agency works with the City of Moscow and the private sector to remedy blight and to facilitate economic development within urban renewal districts. The Agency's activities within urban renewal districts are directed by specific urban renewal plans adopted by the Moscow City Council. The Agency provides funding for these efforts through the use of tax increment financing.

When the City establishes a tax increment financing district, the value on the property within the district is frozen as of the year the district is established. The ad valorem taxes collected on the frozen or "base" value is paid to the various taxing entities providing services to that property. Any subsequent increase in the value of property above the base is called the "increment" value and the tax revenue generated from the increment value is transferred to the Agency. These tax increment revenues are used by the Agency to pay for public improvements and other revitalization activities in that district. When the district closes (now up to 20 years from commencement) the increment value is added back to the base value on the tax rolls. This helps diversify and strengthen the economic bases of both the City and the County.

Though urban renewal is a separate item on property tax statements, local property owners pay the same amount of tax whether or not an urban renewal district is established in their area.

## **FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION**

The information presented in the financial statements is perhaps best understood when it is considered from the broader perspective of the specific environment within which the Agency operates.

### **Local Economy**

Moscow is a city in northern Idaho, situated along the Washington/Idaho border. Moscow is the county seat and largest city in Latah County. Moscow is the home of the University of Idaho, the land grant institution and primary research university for the state, as well as the home of New Saint Andrews College. Eight miles west is Pullman, Washington, home of Washington State University, also a land grant institution.



Moscow is the principal city in the Moscow, Idaho, Micropolitan Statistical Area (McrSA), which includes Latah County. The City contains over 60 percent of the County's population, and while the University of Idaho is the dominant employer in Moscow, the City also serves as an agricultural and commercial hub for the Palouse region. Moscow leads all cities in the Micropolitan Statistical Area (McrSA) in most measures of economic power, including population, income, employment, bank deposits, assessed valuation, office space, and college enrollment.

The 2019 population of the City was estimated at 25,702 (2019 US Census est.), which places it as the 13th largest city in Idaho. The unemployment rate (not seasonally adjusted) for Latah County for September 2020 was 5.2 percent.

### **Long-term Financial Planning**

Prior to the fourth Monday of March of the current year, the Latah County auditor notifies the Agency of the total taxable valuation of all the taxable property situated within the Legacy Crossing District for the preceding calendar year for the purpose of assisting the Agency to develop its annual budget.

In February 2019, the Latah County Assessor released its report on the Agency's assessed valuation for fiscal year 2020 (tax year 2019). Total assessed valuation within the Legacy Crossing District increased by over 68 percent. The Agency's tax increment revenue received in fiscal year 2020 was \$747,652, or about 2 percent of the total assessed valuation increase.

A tax increment calculation error occurred for the Legacy Crossing District. Following the 2012 property tax assessment process, Latah County notified the Agency that after a review of the assessment process, a tax increment calculation error had been made in the Legacy Crossing District, and the Agency had been allocated too much assessed value. It has been determined that the Agency received an overpayment of \$114,537 of property tax receipts over the previous three-year period. Pursuant to an agreement made between the Agency and Latah County, the overpayment will be repaid to Latah County on behalf of the effected taxing entities over a period beginning in FY2017 and ending in FY2029.

### **Major Fiscal Year Initiatives**

Sixth and Jackson Property. The Agency owns a 0.84 acre property at the southwest corner of the intersection of Sixth and Jackson Streets in Moscow. The Agency's primary focus is the redevelopment of the property through completion of environmental assessment/remediation and facilitating the construction of improvements in conformance with the Legacy Crossing Plan. In May of 2013, the Agency was awarded an EPA Hazardous Substances Cleanup Grant to fund the removal of soils to allow the property to be redeveloped. The active cleanup construction was completed in the fall of 2016 and the Agency received the Certificate of Completion and Covenant Not to Sue from the State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality in April 2017. In response to an RFP issued in the fall of 2014, Sangria Downtown, LLC, was selected as the successful respondent, and in April of 2015, the Agency entered into an Exclusive Negotiation Agreement (ENA) with Sangria Downtown, LLC. The goal of the ENA is to provide a process for negotiating a Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) to formally document terms of transactions and responsibilities of the parties. The DDA was approved by the Agency's Board on October 26, 2017. In May of 2018, Sangria terminated the DDA due to increased construction costs that rendered their project infeasible. The Agency issued a second RFP in 2018, and on February 21, 2019, selected Moscow Flatirons project submitted by Roderick D. Olps for the property. Progress on the development of the site was halted in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic and is expected to now begin construction in the summer of 2021.

Private Development Partnerships. The Agency's Board has partnered in over \$40 million in private development projects to assist in funding the cost of needed public infrastructure, environmental remediation, and roadway and access improvements through Owner Participation Agreements (OPA). Through the OPA, the Agency reimburses the developer for identified public improvements from increased property taxes that result from the private investment. In fiscal year 2020, the agency paid \$187,718 in OPA developer reimbursements.

### **Accounting System and Budget Control**

The annual budget serves as the foundation for the Agency's financial planning and control. The Agency's Executive Director and Treasurer prepare the annual budget. The Agency must notify the Latah County clerk of the date, time, and location of the Agency's budget hearing for the upcoming fiscal year no later than April 30 of each year. The Agency's Board of Commissioners must adopt a prospective budget prior to the scheduled public hearing. Legal notice of the proposed budget and budget hearing must be published twice, at least seven (7) days apart in the official newspaper. The final budget document must be adopted and published by September 30 of each year.

The planning of the budget, proposed presentation, public hearing notices, public hearing, adoption and submission to Latah County is outlined in the annual budget calendar each year ensuring all legal compliance and disclosure. The Agency's adopted budget threshold is the Agency's total balance of revenues and expenditures. The Agency may amend the current year's budget at any time during the fiscal year so long as it follows the same public hearing requirements needed for the budget's original certification. Amendments to the budget are occasionally necessary for unanticipated revenues.

### **Awards and Acknowledgements**

We would like to commend the City of Moscow staff for their efficient and dedicated service in helping to prepare this report. We also wish to thank Steve McGeehan, Chairman, and the Board of Commissioners for their support in planning and conducting the financial operations of the Agency in a responsible and progressive manner. Credit must also be given to the Agency's auditors, Presnell Gage, PLLC, for their most valuable assistance in preparation of this report.

Respectfully submitted,



Bill J. Belknap  
Executive Director



Renee Tack  
Agency Treasurer



## **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Board of Commissioners  
Moscow Urban Renewal Agency  
Moscow, Idaho

### **Report of the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency, a component-unit of the City of Moscow, Idaho, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Agency's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

### **Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency as of September 30, 2020, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Other Matters**

### *Required Supplementary Information*

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 9 through 17 and 23 through 24, respectively, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### *Other Information*

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency, a component-unit of the City of Moscow, Idaho's basic financial statements. The introductory section is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The introductory sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

## **Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated January 26, 2021, on our consideration of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

PRESNELL GAGE PLLC

January 26, 2021

# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

---

This section offers readers an overview and analysis of the fiscal year 2020 financial activities of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency (hereafter "the Agency") of the City of Moscow, Idaho. It should be read in conjunction with the Agency's audited financial statements, which follow this section.

### 2020 FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The Agency's total net position was \$1,957,123.
- The Agency's liabilities at September 30, 2020, were \$416,764.
- The Agency's total year-end fund balance was \$1,631,240.
- The property tax revenue generated from within the Legacy Crossing District, was \$747,652, an increase of 68.5 percent resulting from the completion and assessment of a number of recent projects in the district that added over \$8.4 Million in assessed valuation growth. Property tax increment revenues are calculated on the change in property valuations as assessed by the Latah County Assessor.

### OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Agency's annual financial report consists of several sections. Taken together, they provide a comprehensive overview of the Agency's activities. The sections of the report are as follows:

**Management's Discussion and Analysis.** This section of the report provides financial highlights, overview, and economic factors affecting the Agency.

**Basic Financial Statements.** This section includes the Agency-wide financial statements, fund financial statements, and notes to the financial statements. Agency-wide financial statements consist of the statement of net position and the statement of activities and utilize the accrual basis of accounting. The statements are intended to be more business-oriented and assist in assessing the *operational accountability* of the entity. The fund financial statements are similar to the Agency-wide statements, however, they use the modified accrual basis of accounting and focus on the *fiscal accountability* of the entity.

#### Agency-Wide Statements

- The statement of net position found on page 18 focuses on resources available for future operations. This statement presents a snapshot view of the assets the Agency owns, the liabilities it owes and the net difference. The net difference is further separated into amounts indicating the agency's assets, net of debt, restricted for debt service, and unrestricted amounts.
- The statement of activities found on page 19 focuses on gross and net costs of the Agency's programs and the extent to which such programs rely upon property tax and other revenues. This statement summarizes and simplifies the user's analysis to determine the extent to which programs are self-supporting and/or subsidized by general revenues.

#### Fund Financial Statements

- The balance sheet located on page 20 is similar to the statement of net position; however, the balance sheet omits long-term assets and long-term liabilities. This format helps assess current assets, which are available to meet current liabilities and debt service payments. Also, there is a reconciliation of the balance sheet and the statement of net position, which outlines why there are differences in the two statements.

## MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

---

There are three statements of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances on pages 21, 23, and 24. The statement on page 22 reconciles the differences to the Agency-wide statement of activities. The statements on page 23-24 show the budget-to-actual revenues and expenditures for the year for the General fund and Legacy Agency, respectively, and help in assessing whether the Agency raised and spent funds according to the budget plan.

#### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

- The notes to the financial statements provide additional disclosures required by governmental accounting standards and provide information to assist the reader in understanding the Agency's financial condition.

#### Report by the Independent Certified Public Accountants

- The report by the independent certified public accountants includes supplemental communication on the Agency's compliance and internal controls as required by Idaho statutes.

### MAJOR AGENCY INITIATIVES IN FISCAL YEAR 2020

***Legacy Crossing District.*** The Agency owns a lot located at the southwest corner of the intersection of 6<sup>th</sup> and Jackson Streets in Moscow, within the Legacy Crossing District. The Agency's primary focus is the redevelopment of the property through the completion of environmental assessment/remediation and facilitating the construction of improvements in conformance with the Legacy Crossing Plan. The Sixth and Jackson property is one of the beneficiaries of an EPA Brownfield Assessment Grant and underwent testing throughout in 2014 to develop a remediation plan to remove contaminated soils in order to prepare the property for redevelopment.

On May 29, 2013, the Agency was awarded an EPA Hazardous Substances Cleanup Grant to fund the removal of the contaminated soils. The active cleanup construction was completed in the fall of 2016 and the Agency received the Certificate of Completion and Covenant Not to Sue from the State of Idaho, Department of Environmental Quality in April 2017.

In response to a Request for Proposals (RFP) for the property, Sangria Downtown, LLC, was selected as the successful respondent, and on October 26, 2017, a Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) to formally document terms of transaction and responsibilities of the parties was approved by the Board. In May of 2018, Sangria terminated the DDA due to increased construction costs that rendered their project infeasible. The Agency issued a second RFP in 2018, and on February 21, 2019, selected Moscow Flatirons project submitted by Roderick D. Olps for the property. Progress on the development of the site was halted in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic and is expected to now begin construction in the summer of 2021.

On October 1, 2018, the Moscow City Council passed *Ordinance 2018-13* approving the amendment to the Legacy Crossing District Boundary to include the adjacent portion of Main Street through the adoption of the Amended and Restated Legacy Crossing Urban Renewal District Redevelopment Plan. Under state law, any such amendment becomes retroactively effective to January 1<sup>st</sup> of the year the amendment occurred. The district amendment resulting in the addition of 6 acres of land to the District bringing the total District size to approximately 169 Acres. The purpose of the amendment was to allow the opportunity for the Agency to financially participate in the reconstruction and repair of the deteriorating public infrastructure in downtown, which has been identified as a major challenge area by the Moscow City Council.

## MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

---

As part of the creation of the Legacy Crossing District, all the parcels were given a base value premised on the 2008 property values. Assessed values above the 2008 base for those parcels benefit the District. Once the Area is established, a tax code area is created that identifies those taxing entities levying taxes within the Area. Beginning in 2009, any increase in the properties assessed values times the levies, generates tax increment revenue for the District. The assessment process utilized by the County for three subsequent years (from the base year of 2008) used certain software developed and provided by the Idaho State Tax Commission. It was determined that the software during this three-year period of time experienced a "glitch" that needed to be manually overridden by the Latah County Assessor's office in order to have prevented an over allocation of value. The Agency has no part of the assessment process or establishment of the various tax levies.

Following the 2012 property tax assessment process, the County contended that the Agency received an over payment of \$114,537 of property tax receipts over the three-year period. A settlement agreement between the Agency and Latah County was reached to provide for a schedule of repayment of the property taxes. The remaining balance at September 30, 2020, was \$94,537.

***Alturas Technology Park.*** Following the recommendation of Resolution 2015-02 to terminate the Alturas Technology Park revenue allocation area, the Moscow City Council passed *Ordinance* 2015-15 terminating the Alturas revenue allocation area in 2015.

The Agency continues to hold six undeveloped lots within the Alturas Technology Park. As real estate market conditions continue to improve, the Agency continues to market the remaining six lots in the Alturas Technology Park District targeting markets like agribusiness, biotechnology, software/IT, institutes and associations, and young technology professionals. Towards that end, the Agency has entered into an agreement with Palouse Commercial Real Estate for real estate brokerage services to assist the Agency with the marketing and sale of the remaining lots. There are a limited number of existing commercial properties available in Moscow for companies to choose from and most would require a substantial reinvestment. So, as national and economic conditions continue to improve, the Agency anticipates greater interest in the Agency's lots in Alturas Technology Park.

# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

#### Trends in the Urban Renewal Agency's Net Position

	<u>2020</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>
<b>Assets</b>			
Current and other assets	\$ 1,163,211	\$ 694,912	\$ 432,070
Capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation)	<u>1,210,676</u>	<u>1,210,676</u>	<u>1,210,676</u>
<b>Total assets</b>	<u>2,373,887</u>	<u>1,905,588</u>	<u>1,642,746</u>
<b>Deferred outflows of resources</b>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Current liabilities	100,227	55,998	75,726
Long-term liabilities	<u>316,537</u>	<u>353,537</u>	<u>388,037</u>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<u>416,764</u>	<u>409,535</u>	<u>463,763</u>
<b>Deferred inflows of resources</b>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<b>Net position</b>			
Net investment in capital assets	464,732	433,732	404,732
Restricted debt service	44,312	44,312	44,312
Unrestricted	<u>1,448,079</u>	<u>1,018,009</u>	<u>729,939</u>
<b>Total net position</b>	<u>\$ 1,957,123</u>	<u>\$ 1,496,053</u>	<u>\$ 1,178,983</u>

The Agency's total assets and deferred outflows of resources for 2020 exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$1,957,123. The total capital assets are \$1,210,676 net of depreciation. The capital assets consist of land, infrastructure for water, sewer, curbs and sidewalks, street lighting, and paving.

**Outstanding Debt.** At the end of fiscal year 2020, the Agency had total outstanding bonded debt of \$259,000 as noted on page 31. These bonds are limited obligations of the Agency for the Legacy Crossing District. Additional information on the Agency's long-term debt can be found in Note 6 in the notes to the financial statements.



**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

---

**Trends in the Urban Renewal Agency's Changes in Net Position**

	<u>2020</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>
<b>Revenues:</b>			
General revenues:			
Property tax	\$ 747,652	\$ 443,686	\$ 228,176
Other revenues:			
Investment income	11,255	12,116	7,373
Disposal of asset (loss)	0	0	0
Grants and contributions	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<b>Total revenues</b>	<u>758,907</u>	<u>455,802</u>	<u>235,549</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>			
Project administration	287,833	127,228	278,757
Depreciation	0	0	0
Interest expense	<u>10,004</u>	<u>11,504</u>	<u>13,157</u>
<b>Total expenditures</b>	<u>297,837</u>	<u>138,732</u>	<u>291,914</u>
<b>Change in net position</b>	461,070	317,070	(56,365)
<b>Net position, beginning</b>	<u>1,496,053</u>	<u>1,178,983</u>	<u>1,235,348</u>
<b>Net position, ending</b>	<u>\$ 1,957,123</u>	<u>\$ 1,496,053</u>	<u>\$ 1,178,983</u>

The change in investment income reflects changes in the market value of investment bonds held by the Agency, and fluctuations in the interest rates effective during the fiscal year. The increase in project administration expenses is due to significantly higher development participation over the reporting period. The Agency implements all Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements so that we are in compliance with the accounting standards for governments. Additional information comparing the Agency's budgeted to actual expenditures can be found in the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances on pages 23 and 24.

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

---

**FUND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS****Trends in the Urban Renewal Agency's Balance Sheet**

	<u>2020</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and investments	\$ 1,155,404	\$ 687,058	\$ 422,403
Accounts receivable	2,547	2,594	4,407
Other assets	5,260	5,260	5,260
Land held for sale	<u>531,256</u>	<u>531,256</u>	<u>531,256</u>
Total assets	<u>1,694,467</u>	<u>1,226,168</u>	<u>963,326</u>
 <b>DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	 <u>0</u>	 <u>0</u>	 <u>0</u>
Total assets and deferred outflows or resources	<u>\$ 1,694,467</u>	<u>\$ 1,226,168</u>	<u>\$ 963,326</u>
 <b>LIABILITIES</b>	 <u>\$ 63,227</u>	 <u>\$ 21,498</u>	 <u>\$ 43,226</u>
 <b>DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	 <u>0</u>	 <u>0</u>	 <u>0</u>
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	<u>63,227</u>	<u>21,498</u>	<u>43,226</u>
 <b>FUND BALANCE</b>			
Nonspendable	531,256	531,256	531,256
Restricted	44,312	44,312	44,312
Assigned	967,800	552,485	280,031
Unassigned	<u>87,872</u>	<u>76,617</u>	<u>64,501</u>
Total fund balance	<u>1,631,240</u>	<u>1,204,670</u>	<u>920,100</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balance	<u>\$ 1,694,467</u>	<u>\$ 1,226,168</u>	<u>\$ 963,326</u>

The increase in liabilities is a result of increased accounts payable due to timing of year-end invoices. Accumulated funding has also been set aside for improvements to the Agency's lot located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Sixth and Jackson Street, which have been carried forward while the Agency continues the pursuit of the sale of this property. The \$44,312 of restricted fund balance represents the required bond reserve account balance for the Legacy Crossing District bond.

# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

---

### ECONOMIC CONDITIONS AFFECTING THE AGENCY

Moscow's major employers are heavily represented in the sectors of education, health care, retail, and government. These four sectors represent 65 percent of Moscow's economy. The Latah County unemployment rate (not seasonally adjusted) for the month ending in September 2020 was 5.2 percent compared with 2.1 percent in September 2019. The September 2020 unemployment rate (not seasonally adjusted) of 5.2 percent was below a state unemployment rate of 5.6 percent and a national unemployment rate of 7.9 percent. The elevated unemployment rates are likely related to the COVID-19 pandemic and associated economic disruption and recession that has resulted.<sup>(1)</sup>

Latah County saw employment expansion in the following sectors from 2018 – 2019: <sup>(1)</sup>

- Accommodation and Food Service (2.3 percent)
- Information (28.6 percent)
- Construction (4.6 percent)
- Health Care and Social Services (9.5 percent)
- Real Estate and Leasing (1.3 percent)

Latah County saw employment contraction in the following sectors: <sup>(1)</sup>

- Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation (-3.4 percent)
- Educational Services (-2.0 percent)
- Other Services (-4.7 percent)
- Professional and Technical Services (-0.6 percent)
- Wholesale Trade (-11.0 percent)
- Finance and Insurance (-5.5 percent)
- Manufacturing (-1.9 percent)
- Retail Trade (-1.4 percent)

Real Estate: <sup>(2)</sup>

- The average home sale price in the City of Moscow increased 12.2 percent from \$279,866 in 2019 to \$314,027 in 2020 after a 15.5 percent increase the prior year.
- The average home sale price in Latah County increased 4.3 percent from \$274,068 in 2019 to \$286,040 in 2020 after a 24.6 percent increase the prior year.

Tourism: <sup>(3)</sup>

- In the 2020 State of Idaho fiscal year, Latah County transient occupancy tax decreased by 36 percent over the prior period in 2019 due to reduced travel and tourism as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Building Permits: <sup>(4)</sup>

- Total permitted construction value in the City of Moscow increased significantly from \$21.9 million in 2019 to \$37.7 million in 2020, well above the 10-year average of \$25.6 million.

---

1U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

2 Latah County MLS

3 Idaho Department of Commerce, Tourism Department

4 City of Moscow Community Development Department.

## MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

---

***Urban Renewal and Tax Increment Financing (TIF).*** The State of Idaho offers few financial incentives for economic development. Urban renewal and tax increment financing is one of the few economic development tools available to local governments in Idaho.

As an urban renewal agency, the Agency receives tax increment revenues calculated on the assessed value over the frozen base, which is set at the time of creation of an urban renewal district. When the Agency completes public improvements in association with an urban renewal plan, the Agency is investing in the local community and economy helping to increase property values and economic activity in the community. Because states are cutting or delaying aid to local governments in significant numbers, transferring costs from themselves to their cities, counties, and K-12 schools, and in some cases additionally passing laws that limit the local government's ability to raise taxes, urban renewal and tax increment financing are vital economic development programs in Idaho.

The Alturas Technology Park District revenue allocation area was closed in the 2015 fiscal year. As a result of the closure, the \$22 million in increased property value that has occurred as a result of the Agency's investment in Alturas will be available to the taxing districts and will continue to provide long-lasting economic benefit to the City of Moscow and the region. Building on the success of the Alturas Technology Park District, the Legacy Crossing District was created in 2008 to provide a vision and direction for the redevelopment of an obsolete railroad corridor adjacent to downtown Moscow, and to increase economic opportunities for the community.

The Legacy Crossing District will have long-term positive impacts on the community and the Agency's financial status. The re-urbanization of inner-city districts, particularly those with land uses transitioning from industrial uses to mixed-uses, requires an extended planning horizon. Redevelopment activities continue on the Agency-owned property located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Sixth and Jackson Streets, including the completion of environmental remediation activities and review and selection of current proposals for redevelopment projects on the site.

### FINANCIAL CONTACT

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Agency's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report, or requests for additional financial information, should be addressed to the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency Treasurer, P.O. Box 9203, Moscow, Idaho, 83843.

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK)

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

**STATEMENT OF NET POSITION**  
September 30, 2020

	Governmental Activities
<b>ASSETS</b>	
Cash and investments	\$ 1,155,404
Receivables	2,547
Land held for sale	531,256
Other assets	5,260
Land	679,420
Total assets	<u>2,373,887</u>
<b>DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<u>0</u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>	
Accounts payable	58,227
Deposit payable	5,000
Series 2010 Bond - due within one year	32,000
Latah County payback agreement - due within one year	5,000
Series 2010 Bond - due after one year	227,000
Latah County payback agreement - due after one year	89,537
Total liabilities	<u>416,764</u>
<b>DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<u>0</u>
<b>NET POSITION</b>	
Net investment in capital assets	464,732
Restricted	
Debt service	44,312
Unrestricted	<u>1,448,079</u>
Total net position	<u><u>\$ 1,957,123</u></u>

See accompanying notes

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES  
Year Ended September 30, 2020

		Program Revenues	Net Revenue (Expense) and Changes in Net Position
	Expenses	Operating Grants and Contributions	Governmental Activities
GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES			
Project administration	\$ 287,833		\$ (287,833)
Interest expense	10,004		(10,004)
Total governmental activities	<u>\$ 297,837</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>(297,837)</u>
GENERAL REVENUES			
Property taxes levied for general purposes			747,652
Investment income			11,255
Total general revenues			<u>758,907</u>
Change in net position			461,070
NET POSITION, beginning of year			<u>1,496,053</u>
NET POSITION, end of year			<u>\$ 1,957,123</u>

See accompanying notes

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

**BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**

September 30, 2020

	General	Legacy Crossing District	Total
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and investments	\$ 150,572	\$ 1,004,832	\$ 1,155,404
Receivables	527	2,020	2,547
Other assets		5,260	5,260
Land held for sale	531,256		531,256
Total assets	<u>682,355</u>	<u>1,012,112</u>	<u>1,694,467</u>
<b>DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	<u>\$ 682,355</u>	<u>\$ 1,012,112</u>	<u>\$ 1,694,467</u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Accounts payable	\$ 58,227		\$ 58,227
Deposit payable	5,000		5,000
Total liabilities	<u>63,227</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>63,227</u>
<b>DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<b>FUND BALANCE</b>			
Nonspendable	531,256		531,256
Restricted for debt service		44,312	44,312
Assigned		967,800	967,800
Unassigned	87,872		87,872
Total fund balance	<u>619,128</u>	<u>1,012,112</u>	<u>1,631,240</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balance	<u>\$ 682,355</u>	<u>\$ 1,012,112</u>	<u>\$ 1,694,467</u>

**RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION TO THE BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**

**Total fund balance - Governmental Funds** \$ 1,631,240

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds 679,420

Long-term liabilities, consisting of bonds payable and tax repayment agreement, are due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds (353,537)

**Total net position - Governmental Activities** \$ 1,957,123

See accompanying notes



**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES -  
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS  
Year Ended September 30, 2020

	<u>General</u>	<u>Legacy Crossing District</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Property taxes		\$ 747,652	\$ 747,652
Investment income	\$ 11,255		11,255
Total revenues	<u>11,255</u>	<u>747,652</u>	<u>758,907</u>
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>			
Current			
Legal and professional fees	6,450	4,084	10,534
Insurance	1,614		1,614
Maintenance	4,408		4,408
Advertising	244		244
Management services	50,648		50,648
Land incentive agreement		187,718	187,718
Development participation		28,387	28,387
Other administration expenses	363	3,917	4,280
Debt Service			
Principal retirement		34,500	34,500
Interest		10,004	10,004
Total expenditures	<u>63,727</u>	<u>268,610</u>	<u>332,337</u>
<b>EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES</b>	<u>(52,472)</u>	<u>479,042</u>	<u>426,570</u>
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)</b>			
Operating transfers	<u>63,727</u>	<u>(63,727)</u>	<u>0</u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>63,727</u>	<u>(63,727)</u>	<u>0</u>
Net change in fund balances	11,255	415,315	426,570
<b>FUND BALANCES AT BEGINNING OF YEAR</b>	<u>607,873</u>	<u>596,797</u>	<u>1,204,670</u>
<b>FUND BALANCES AT END OF YEAR</b>	<u><u>\$ 619,128</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 1,012,112</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 1,631,240</u></u>

See accompanying notes

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

**RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,  
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO  
THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES  
Year Ended September 30, 2020**

---

**Net change in fund balances - Governmental Funds** **\$ 426,570**

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

The issuance of long-term debt (e.g. bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of issuance costs, premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities:

Principal payments made on long-term debt

34,500

**Change in net position - Governmental Activities**

\$ 461,070

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES  
IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS  
GENERAL FUND

Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Budgeted Amounts Original and Final	Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Investment income	\$ 3,500	\$ 11,255	\$ 7,755
Total revenues	<u>3,500</u>	<u>11,255</u>	<u>7,755</u>
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>			
Current			
Legal and professional fees	10,500	6,450	4,050
Insurance	1,550	1,614	(64)
Maintenance	3,600	4,408	(808)
Advertising	750	244	506
Management services	50,648	50,648	0
Other administration expenses	<u>138,210</u>	<u>363</u>	<u>137,847</u>
Total expenditures	<u>205,258</u>	<u>63,727</u>	<u>141,531</u>
<b>EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES</b>	<u>(201,758)</u>	<u>(52,472)</u>	<u>149,286</u>
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)</b>			
Proceeds from sale	135,210		(135,210)
Operating transfers	<u>60,718</u>	<u>63,727</u>	<u>3,009</u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>195,928</u>	<u>63,727</u>	<u>(132,201)</u>
Net change in fund balances	(5,830)	11,255	17,085
<b>FUND BALANCES BEGINNING OF YEAR</b>	<u>5,830</u>	<u>607,873</u>	<u>602,043</u>
<b>FUND BALANCES END OF YEAR</b>	<u><u>\$ 0</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 619,128</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 619,128</u></u>

See accompanying notes

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES  
IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS  
LEGACY CROSSING DISTRICT FUND  
Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Budgeted Amounts Original and Final	Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Property taxes	\$ 485,000	\$ 747,652	\$ 262,652
Total revenues	<u>485,000</u>	<u>747,652</u>	<u>262,652</u>
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>			
Current			
Legal and professional fees	16,800	4,084	12,716
Advertising	1,000		1,000
Land incentive agreement	12,961	187,718	(174,757)
Development participation	365,000	28,387	336,613
Other administration expenses	4,700	3,917	783
Debt service			
Principal retirement	293,500	34,500	259,000
Interest	13,056	10,004	3,052
Contingency	15,000		15,000
Total expenditures	<u>722,017</u>	<u>268,610</u>	<u>453,407</u>
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>(237,017)</u>	<u>479,042</u>	<u>716,059</u>
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)</b>			
Proceeds from sale	150,000		(150,000)
Operating transfers	<u>(60,718)</u>	<u>(63,727)</u>	<u>(3,009)</u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>89,282</u>	<u>(63,727)</u>	<u>(153,009)</u>
Net change in fund balances	(147,735)	415,315	563,050
FUND BALANCES BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>147,735</u>	<u>596,797</u>	<u>449,062</u>
FUND BALANCES END OF YEAR	<u><u>\$ 0</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 1,012,112</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 1,012,112</u></u>

See accompanying notes

# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

### 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

**Reporting Entity.** The Moscow Urban Renewal Agency (the “Agency”), a component unit of the City of Moscow, Idaho, was organized on June 19, 1995, under the Idaho Urban Renewal Law, Chapter 20, Title 50 of the *Idaho Code*. As such, the Agency acts as a legal entity, separate and distinct from the City of Moscow, even though members of the City Council also serve as members of the Agency’s governing board. However, the Agency is considered a component unit of the City of Moscow due to the oversight authority of the City Council.

The actions of the Agency are binding, and business, including the incurrence of long-term debt, is routinely transacted in the Agency’s name by its appointed representatives. The Agency is broadly empowered to engage in the general economic revitalization and redevelopment of the City through acquisition and development of property, public improvements, and revitalization activities in those areas of the City determined to be in a declining condition, which are in a redevelopment project area.

The Alturas Technology Park was the Agency’s first project. Phase I of the project was constructed during 1997 and 1998, and consists of six saleable lots and a public park. Construction of Phase II began in the fall of 2005. The Moscow Urban Renewal Agency closed the Alturas Technology Park Tax Allocation Area in 2016 and contributed public infrastructure capital assets to the City of Moscow.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, a central portion of the City of Moscow was declared a deteriorating area. A second urban renewal district was defined and named Legacy Crossing District. During the course of fiscal year 2007-2008, a plan was written, public comment was obtained, and a feasibility study conducted. The final Legacy Crossing Urban Renewal District plan was accepted by the City Council in June 2008 and filed as approved by the Idaho State Tax Commission in August 2008. During fiscal year 2009-2010, the Agency issued bonds to finance the purchase of the land relating to Legacy Crossing District.

**Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting.** The financial statements of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The Agency uses the following two bases of accounting in these financial statements:

**Economic Resources Measurement Focus and Accrual Basis of Accounting**

Under this measurement focus, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows take place.

# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

### 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### ***Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting (Continued).***

##### **Current Financial Resources Measurement Focus and Modified Accrual Basis of Accounting**

Under this measurement focus, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. The Agency considers revenues as available if they are collected within 60 days after year-end.

Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on general long-term debt, claims and judgments, and compensated absences, which are recognized as expenditures to the extent they have matured. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Proceeds of general long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

***Restricted Resources.*** Program expenses are allocated to restricted program revenue first and then to the next highest level of net position/fund balance restrictions when both restricted and unrestricted resources are available.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions* (GASB #54) defines the different types of fund balances that a governmental entity must use for financial reporting purposes. GASB #54 requires the fund balance amounts to be properly reported within one of the fund balance categories below:

##### **Nonspendable**

Includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (1) not in spendable form or (2) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

##### **Restricted**

Includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purpose stipulated by external resource providers, constitutional provisions, or enabling legislation.

##### **Committed**

Includes amounts that can only be used for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority.

##### **Assigned**

Includes amounts that are intended to be used by the government for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed.

##### **Unassigned**

Residual classification of fund balance that includes all spendable amounts that have not been restricted, committed, or assigned.

# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

### 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

**Agency-Wide Financial Statements.** The statement of net position and the statement of activities display information about the overall Agency. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double-counting of internal activities. These statements reflect only governmental activities of the Agency since there are no “business-type activities” within the Agency. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other non-exchange transactions. Business-type activities are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties.

The statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for the Agency’s sole function of economic development within the Agency boundaries. A function is an assembly of similar activities and may include portions of a fund or summarize more than one fund to capture the expenses and program revenues associated with a distinct functional activity. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include (a) fees and charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs and (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

**Fund Financial Statements.** The fund financial statements provide information about the Agency’s funds. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities. The Agency has only governmental-type funds. Because there are only two funds, they are both presented on the face of the fund financial statements.

**Basis of Presentation.** The Agency uses the following governmental funds:

General Fund – This fund was created by the Agency, separate and apart from all other funds of the Agency, designated the “General Fund,” into which shall be deposited the excess interest revenues earned and incremental tax revenues received each year, after the provision has been made for payment of principal and interest on the bonds. The provision is determined by the Board and is sufficient to pay the costs of administration of the Agency for the fiscal year.

Legacy Crossing District Fund – This fund was created by the Agency as a special fund held by the Agency, separate and apart from all other funds of the Agency, designated the “Legacy Crossing District Fund.” All incremental tax revenues relating to each individual project area shall be deposited promptly upon receipt by the Agency into the associated fund and shall be used only for the following purposes and in the following order of priority:

- First, to pay the interest on the bonds and notes payable relating to the associated project.
- Second, to pay the principal of the bonds and notes payable relating to the associated project.
- Third, to fund the general fund.
- Fourth, to fund construction in the project areas for plans as legally approved by the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency Commission.
- Fifth, for any lawful purpose of the Agency.

# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

### 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

**Use of Estimates.** The Agency uses estimates and assumptions in preparing financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Those estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported revenues and expenses. Actual results could vary from the estimates that the Agency uses.

**Budgets.** As required by Idaho law, the Agency has adopted a budget, which is presented on the face of the financial statements.

**Deposits and Investments.** Cash is invested by the Agency until it is needed for the purpose of maximizing investment earnings. The investments are reported at fair value at September 30, 2020. The fair value is combined with the checking account balance and is presented as cash and investments.

**Land Held for Sale.** Land held for sale consists of properties purchased with the intent to sell the properties in the short-term. Land held for sale is stated at the lower of cost or fair market value. Land held for sale is not depreciated or amortized.

**Capital Assets.** Capital assets are long lived assets of the Agency as a whole. When purchased, such assets are recorded as expenditures in the governmental funds and capitalized. The Agency records all capital assets at their original cost. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets' lives are not capitalized.

Capital assets consist of land relating to the Legacy Crossing District.

**Long-Term Obligations.** Long-term debt is recognized as a liability of a governmental fund when due or when resources have been accumulated for early payment in the following year. For other long-term obligations, only that portion expected to be financed from expendable available financial resources is reported as a fund liability.

**Personnel.** The Agency employs no personnel and, thus, has no liability disclosures for pension costs, employee compensated absence or payroll tax accruals. The Agency agrees to pay \$53,316 to the City of Moscow for services provided through City Administration, Public Works, Finance, and Community Development departments. Additionally, the Agency retains an Executive Director whose duties and responsibilities are equally separated from the City's Community Development Director. The Agency contracts with the City for the Executive Director's services, as stipulated in the City Services Agreement between the City and the Agency.

### 2. PROPERTY TAXES

In accordance with Idaho law, property taxes are levied in dollars in September for each calendar year. Levies are made on or before the second Monday of September. One-half of the property taxes are due on or before December 20th, and the remaining one-half is due on or before June 20th of the following year. A lien is filed on property after three years from the date of delinquency.



# MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 2. PROPERTY TAXES (CONTINUED)

The Agency has no direct taxing power. The agency receives property taxes based upon the increase in assessed value of property caused by construction and growth in valuation since the base year. All taxing districts within the tax allocation area receive property tax revenue from their respective tax rate at the base year's assessed value. The assessed property value of the Legacy Crossing District in the base years was \$47,710,183. Each year since the base year, the assessed valuation has grown due to new construction, remodeling, or growth in value.

The increased valuation since the base year and the related property tax increment is listed as follows:

Tax Year	Legacy Crossing District	
	Valuation Increase	Tax Revenue
2008	Base Year	
2009	\$ 3,345,847	\$ 53,020
2010	8,377,408	129,830
2011	8,958,913	144,052
2012	5,449,902	97,548
2013	5,757,256	116,809
2014	8,170,320	179,241
2015	8,760,571	179,552
2016	9,097,017	179,343
2017	11,903,272	228,980
2018	20,267,003	371,958
2019	42,649,716	745,517
2020	45,507,247 (preliminary)	795,467 (estimated)

### 3. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

At September 30, 2020, the carrying amount of the Agency's cash deposits was \$17,387, and the bank balance was \$17,580. The entire cash balance is FDIC insured.

As of September 30, 2020, the Agency had the following investments and maturities:

	Less than 1	1-5	Greater than 5	Interest Rate	Fair Value
Governmental Activities					
Cash and equivalents	\$ 44,313			0.00	\$ 44,313
Idaho State Treasurer's					
Local Government					
Investment Pool	1,093,704			0.58	1,093,704
Total investments	<u>\$1,138,017</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>		<u>\$1,138,017</u>

*Interest rate risk:* In accordance with its investment policy, the Agency manages its exposure to declines in fair values by limiting the weighted average maturity of its investment portfolio.

## MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

#### 3. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

*Credit risk:* As of September 30, 2020, the Agency's investment in the Idaho State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool is unrated. The Agency's investments held through Zions Bank are AAA rated by Moody's Investor Service and are implicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government.

*Concentration of credit risk:* The Agency's investment policy states that the Agency shall mitigate concentration risk by:

1. Limiting investments to avoid over concentration in securities from a specific issuer or business sector,
2. Limiting investment in securities that have higher credit risks,
3. Investing in securities with varying maturities, and
4. Continuously investing a portion of the portfolio in readily available funds such as the State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool, government-sponsored agencies, money market funds, or overnight repurchase agreements to ensure that appropriate liquidity is maintained in order to meet ongoing obligations.

*Custodial credit risk – investments:* For an investment, this is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the Agency will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Agency's policies include investments approved by *Idaho Code* 50-1013, which limit custodial credit by purchasing marketable securities by an implied guarantee of the United States of America, and the Agency uses brokers that qualify under Securities & Exchange Commission *Rule 15C3-1*.

#### 4. LAND HELD FOR SALE

As of September 30, 2020, land held for sale, which is stated at the lower of cost or fair value, consists of six lots within the Alturas Technology Park. It is intended that these lots be disposed of by way of sale and steps have been taken for this purpose. The value of these lots was \$531,256 at September 30, 2020.

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**5. CAPITAL ASSETS**

Capital assets consist of land, infrastructure for water, sewer, curbs and sidewalks, street lighting, and paving. Activity for the year ended September 30, 2020, was as follows:

	Beginning Balance 10/01/19	Increases	Decreases	Ending Balance 09/30/20
Capital assets not being depreciated				
Land	<u>\$ 679,420</u>			<u>\$ 679,420</u>
Total assets not being depreciated, net	<u>679,420</u>			<u>679,420</u>
Total assets being depreciated, net	<u>0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>0</u>
Governmental activities capital assets, net	<u><u>\$ 679,420</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 0</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 0</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 679,420</u></u>

**6. LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS**

The following is a summary of debt transactions of the Agency for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020:

	Series 2010 Revenue Allocation Bond	Total
Debt payable, 9/30/19	<u>\$ 290,000</u>	<u>\$ 290,000</u>
Principal payments	<u>(31,000)</u>	<u>(31,000)</u>
Debt payable, 9/30/20	<u><u>\$ 259,000</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 259,000</u></u>

Debt outstanding at September 30, 2020, consisted of the following:

Revenue Allocation Bonds - Series 2010 - \$510,000 Revenue Allocation (Tax Increment) Bonds due in annual installments, with an interest rate at September 30 of 4.39 percent.

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

---

**6. LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)**

At September 30, 2020, the annual debt service requirements to maturity, assuming current interest rates, are as follows:

Year Ending September 30	Series 2010	
	Principal	Interest
2021	\$ 32,000	\$ 11,370
2022	34,000	9,965
2023	35,000	8,473
2024	37,000	6,936
2025	39,000	5,312
2026-2027	82,000	5,444
	<u>\$ 259,000</u>	<u>\$ 47,500</u>

Revenue Allocation Bonds are limited obligations of the Agency and are not general obligations of the Agency or the City of Moscow, Idaho. These bonds and other issued debt and the related interest are payable solely from property tax revenues from the designated project fund, reserve funds, and any unobligated funds of the Agency.

The total interest expense in 2020 amounted to \$10,004 in the governmental funds.

The Agency also agreed to a long-term payback agreement with Latah County, Idaho, for the repayment of \$114,537 of property taxes received in prior years (see note 8 for details). The payback agreement calls for annual installment payments over 15 years with no interest. At September 30, 2020, the annual required payments to Latah County are as follows:

Year Ending September 30	Tax Repayment Agreement
2021	\$ 5,000
2022	5,000
2023	5,000
2024	5,000
2025	5,000
2026-2029	69,537
	<u>\$ 94,537</u>

## MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

#### 7. FUND BALANCE CLASSIFICATIONS

**Nonspendable.** Nonspendable fund balances represent amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (1) not in spendable form or (2) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The Agency's nonspendable fund balance consists of land that is held for resale and is not considered to be in a spendable form.

**Restricted.** Restricted net position/fund balances represent amounts whose use is restricted by creditors, grantors, laws and regulations of other governments, or through enabling legislation. Restrictions for the Agency include resources of the Legacy Crossing District that are set aside for the specific purpose of satisfying debt service requirements set forth by the Agency's individual bond related covenants.

**Assigned.** The fund balances classified as assigned are for use for specific purposes but do not rise to the level of restricted or committed. The Agency has assigned balances that include the activities of the special revenue fund.

**Unassigned.** The unassigned fund balance is in the general fund and has not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund.

#### 8. PROPERTY TAX REPAYMENT AGREEMENT

As part of the creation of the Legacy Crossing District, all the parcels were given a base value premised on the 2008 property values. Assessed values above the 2008 base for those parcels benefit the District. Once the Area is established, a tax code area is created that identifies those taxing entities levying taxes within the Area. Beginning in 2009, any increase in the properties' assessed values times the levies, generates tax increment revenue for the District. The assessment process utilized by the County for three subsequent years from the base year of 2008 used certain software developed and provided by the Idaho State Tax Commission. It was determined that the software during this three-year period of time experienced a "glitch" that needed to be manually overridden by the Latah County Assessor's office in order to have prevented an over allocation of value. The Agency has no part of the assessment process or the establishment of the various tax levies.

Following the 2012 property tax assessment process, the County notified the Agency that after a review of the assessment process for the past three years, the District had been allocated too much assessed value. Disclosure note 2 on page 29 identifies these changes. The County determined that the Agency received an overpayment of \$114,537 of property tax receipts over a three-year period. The remaining balance at September 30, 2020, was \$94,537.

## MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

#### 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

On September 21, 2011, the Agency entered into an Owners Participation Agreement (OPA) with Anderson Group, LLC, the developer of 625 South Jackson Street – in the Agency's Legacy District. The Agency's financial participation is an anticipated amount not to exceed \$110,000 of public improvements and site remediation, without interest. The OPA sets out conditions the developer must meet to become eligible for Agency financial participation. Payment will be made in semi-annual installments in the amount of 50 percent of the tax increment revenue generated from the additional assessed value resulting from the development completed by the developer. Payments will only occur upon receipt of tax increment revenue and will continue until the principal has been paid or December 31, 2032, whichever occurs first. Payments made during fiscal year 2020 totaled \$986. As of September 30, 2020, a total of \$2,040 has been paid to the developer.

On September 16, 2014, the Agency entered into an OPA with 409 S. Jackson St., LLC, the developer of 409 South Jackson Street – in the Agency's Legacy District. The Agency's financial participation is an anticipated amount not to exceed \$45,000 of public improvements and site remediation, without interest. The OPA sets out conditions the developer must meet to become eligible for Agency financial participation. Payment will be made in semi-annual installments in the amount of 50 percent of the tax increment revenue generated from the additional assessed value resulting from the development completed by the developer. Payments will only occur upon receipt of tax increment revenue and will continue until the principal has been paid or December 31, 2024, whichever occurs first. Payments made during fiscal year 2020 totaled \$3,761. At September 30, 2020, a total of \$45,000 has been paid to the developer.

On October 6, 2016, the Agency entered into an OPA with Darold L. Bingham and Ann D. Bingham Living Trust, the developer of 402 West Sixth Street – in the Agency's Legacy District. The Agency's financial participation is an anticipated amount not to exceed \$38,077 of public improvements and site remediation, without interest. The OPA sets out conditions the developer must meet to become eligible for Agency financial participation. Payment will be made in semi-annual installments in the amount of 50 percent of the tax increment revenue generated from the additional assessed value resulting from the development completed by the developer. Payments will only occur upon receipt of tax increment revenue and will continue until the principal has been paid or December 31, 2032, whichever occurs first. Payments made during fiscal year 2020 totaled \$3,195. At September 30, 2020, a total of \$28,561 has been paid to the developer.

On October 6, 2016, the Agency entered into an OPA with Larry A. Swanger, the developer of 203 West Third Street – in the Agency's Legacy District. The Agency's financial participation is an anticipated amount not to exceed \$90,096 of public improvements related to the general condition and appearance of all Public Street and sidewalk infrastructure in the surrounding area, without interest. Payments made during fiscal year 2020 totaled \$1,741. At September 30, 2020, a total of \$48,748 has been paid to the developer.

## MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

#### 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (CONTINUED)

On January 18, 2018, the Agency entered into an OPA with Gritman Medical Park LLC, the developer of 700 South Main Street – in the Agency’s Legacy District. The Agency’s financial participation is an anticipated amount not to exceed \$600,000 of public improvements related to the general condition and appearance of all public street and sidewalk infrastructure in the surrounding area, without interest. The OPA sets out conditions the developer must meet to become eligible for Agency financial participation. Payment will be made in semi-annual installments in the amount of 50 percent of the tax increment revenue generated from the additional assessed value resulting from the development completed by the developer. Payments will only occur upon receipt of tax increment revenue and will continue until the principal has been paid or December 31, 2032, whichever occurs first. Payments made during fiscal year 2020 totaled \$56,820. At September 30, 2020, a total of \$73,992 has been paid to the developer.


On June 16, 2016, the Agency entered into an OPA with FH Vandals, LLC, the developer of 1104 South Main Street – in the Agency’s Legacy District. The Agency’s financial participation is an anticipated amount not to exceed \$350,000 of excavation and disposal of contaminated soils, replacement of said material with clean structural film and the construction of public improvements, without interest. The OPA sets out conditions the developer must meet to become eligible for Agency financial participation. Payment will be made in semi-annual installments in the amount of 50 percent of the tax increment revenue generated from the additional assessed value resulting from the development completed by the developer. Payments will only occur upon receipt of tax increment revenue and will continue until the principal has been paid or December 31, 2024, whichever occurs first. Payments made during fiscal year 2020 totaled \$65,569. At September 30, 2020, a total of \$65,569 has been paid to the developer.

---

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

---





## **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT - GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

Board of Commissioners  
Moscow Urban Renewal Agency  
Moscow, Idaho

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency as of and for the year ended September 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 26, 2021.

### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses, or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Moscow Urban Renewal Agency's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of the financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Agency's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Agency's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

PRESNELL GAGE PLLC

January 26, 2021



# Annual Report

& Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2020



*This page intentionally left blank.*



# 2020 Annual Report



## *2020 ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY*

The Mission of the Agency (Agency) is to promote and support projects that achieve sustainable economic growth, vitality, and which enhance the community.

Per Idaho Code §50-2006(c), urban renewal agencies are to provide an annual report by March 31<sup>st</sup> of each year to the jurisdiction's governing body. For the Agency that is the Moscow City Council. This report is submitted in fulfillment of that requirement and to provide information to the public. The report will be available from March 5<sup>th</sup> through March 25<sup>th</sup>, for inspection during business hours in the Urban Renewal Agency's office or on the Agency website at [www.moscowura.com](http://www.moscowura.com).

As required by Idaho Code §50-2006(c), the Agency will consider for approval the draft annual report of the Agency's 2020 activities at their meeting on March 25<sup>th</sup>, 2021. Written comments are welcomed and may be submitted to the Agency in advance of the meeting. Comments and responses from that period will be included in the final version of this report.

**The comment period will remain open from March 5<sup>th</sup> 2021 to March 25<sup>th</sup>, 2021.**

### *FOR MORE INFORMATION...*

As required by Idaho Code §50-2011(f), the annual report identifies the real property held by the Agency and sets forth the reasons such property remains unsold and indicates the Agency's plans for disposition of the real property, if necessary. If you have any questions or to submit comments, please contact:

**Bill Belknap, Executive Director**  
221 E. Second Street Moscow, Idaho 83843  
(208)883-7011  
[www.moscowura.com](http://www.moscowura.com)  
[bbelknap@ci.moscow.id.us](mailto:bbelknap@ci.moscow.id.us)

### *Understanding URAs*

Urban renewal and revenue allocation financing are the most significant tools available to Idaho communities for attracting and retaining businesses, generating economic development, promoting job creation and encouraging development of deteriorating and underutilized areas. The State of Idaho provides limited options for cities and counties to use in financing site preparation, infrastructure and other needed incentives necessary to attract and retain businesses. Revenue allocation financing allows communities to make a site ready for development, including extending water, sewer, streets and other improvements that reduce the cost to businesses of relocating or expanding.

Urban renewal and revenue allocation financing is particularly important because of the competitive nature of economic development, where Idaho communities face competition from communities in other states or countries where incentives such as tax abatements, local revenue sharing, and incentives for recruitment often exist. Many Idaho cities (some with more than one project area), have chosen these tools to revitalize their city. The positive impacts of urban renewal can be seen across the state of Idaho.



## *CONTENTS*

Moscow Urban Renewal Agency Profile.....	3
Agency Board of Commissioners .....	5
The Districts of the Agency.....	6
Alturas Technology Park Urban Renewal District .....	7
Legacy Crossing Urban Renewal District.....	9
Inventory of MURA Owned Properties .....	12
Public Comments & Response .....	13
Legal Notice .....	14
Financial Statements .....	15



*This page intentionally left blank.*



### *MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY PROFILE*

The Agency was organized by the Moscow City Council in 1995 pursuant to resolution 95-08 in accordance with Idaho Urban Renewal Law, Ch. 20, Title 50, Idaho Code (the "Law") and the Local Economic Development Act, Ch. 29, Title 50, Idaho Code (the "Act"). The Agency acts as an arm of the Idaho State government, entirely separate and distinct from the City of Moscow as provided in Idaho Code Section 50-2006.

The purpose of the Agency is to undertake urban renewal projects in areas designated by the City of Moscow to be deteriorating, and to undertake this rehabilitation, conservation, redevelopment or a combination thereof in the interest of the public health, safety, morals or welfare of the residents of the City of Moscow.

The Agency is comprised of seven Commissioners appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the City Council, with terms as specified by the Mayor as authorized by Moscow City Council Resolution 2008-17. Membership is constituted as follows: Two (2) members of the Moscow City Council; one (1) member of the Latah County Commission; and, four (4) members from the citizenry at large. Terms are staggered in such a fashion that no more than three (3) expire in any given year. The Board of Commissioners elects the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Secretary from the ranks of the Commission; the Treasurer office may be filled by Commissioners or by staff appointments made by the Commission.


The Chairperson is the Chief Presiding Officer of the Agency. The Chair executes all deeds, bonds, contracts and other legal documents authorized by the Commission. Some of the Chair's duties may be delegated by the Board of Commissioners to the Agency's Executive Director who oversees the day-to-day operations of the Agency and carries out the policies of the Board.

The City of Moscow is responsible for defining the geographic boundaries and legal creation of all urban renewal districts within the city. The Alturas Technology Park District was created in 1995 and the Legacy Crossing District was created in 2008. The Agency works with the City of Moscow and the private sector to remedy blight and to facilitate economic development within these two districts. The Agency's activities within these districts are directed by specific urban renewal plans adopted by the Moscow City Council. The Agency provides funding for these efforts through the use of tax incremental financing.

As illustrated in the graphic on this page, when the city establishes a tax increment financing district, the value on the property in the district is set as of the date the district is created. The property tax revenue collected on this base value goes to the various taxing entities providing services to that property. Any increase in value over the base is called the increment value and the tax revenue generated from the increment value is transferred to the Agency.

These tax increment revenues are used by the Agency to pay for public improvements and other revitalization activities in that district. When the district closes (previously 24 years when the Legacy Crossing District was created and now currently 20 years), the increment value is added back to the base value on the tax rolls. This helps diversify and strengthen the economic bases of both the city and the county.

Though urban renewal is a separate item on property tax statements, local property owners pay the same amount of tax whether or not an urban renewal district is established in their area.



### ***AGENCY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS***

The Agency is comprised of seven Commissioners appointed by the Mayor, and confirmed by the City Council, with terms specified by the Mayor, as authorized by Moscow City Council Resolution 2008-17. Officers of the agency consist of a Chairperson, Vice Chairperson, Secretary and Treasurer. Formal policy decisions are made by the Agency Board of Commissioners. In all significant financial matters the Board receives recommendations from a standing Finance Committee that is comprised of two Board members and three community members. For 2019 the Finance Committee membership included Chair McGeehan, MURA Treasurer Renee Tack, Brian Foisy and Jon Kimberling, with the additional community member position vacant.



### **2019 MURA Board** *(pictured from left to right)*

Steve McGeehan, Chair

Brandy Sullivan, Vice Chair

Art Bettge, Secretary

Steve Drown, Commissioner

Dave McGraw, Commissioner


Nancy Tribble, Commissioner

Mark Beauchamp, Commissioner (not pictured)

### *THE DISTRICTS OF THE AGENCY*

The Agency historically operated two urban renewal districts: The Alturas Technology Park and Legacy Crossing. The smaller Alturas Technology Park District was closed in 2015 and was located in the southeastern area of the City along State Highway 8, while the larger Legacy Crossing District is located just west of downtown near the University of Idaho Campus.

Applying a variety of redevelopment strategies to improve economic conditions and enhance the quality of life across the city, the Agency's catalog of projects demonstrates that there is no one-size-fits-all solution for community redevelopment. When taken as a whole, this diversity of efforts translates into a cohesive framework, serving critical community, business, and economic development needs.




### ALTURAS TECHNOLOGY PARK URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT

The Alturas Technology Park was the Agency's first District and is currently home to many of Moscow's premier high-tech companies, including Comtech EF Data Corporation, Alturas Analytics, Inc., Anatek Labs, Inc., and BioTracking, LLC. The majority of these firms are linked to outside/non-local markets and are considered primary industries. Wealth enters the local economy principally by way of these industry types. Established in 1996, the assessed value of property within the revenue allocation area was approximately \$6.4 million. Improvements and developments made as a result of the Alturas Research and Technology Park Urban Renewal Plan have assisted in increasing property values dramatically and today the same area is valued at more than \$27 million.

#### Alturas Fast Facts

- Established: 1996
- 34 Acres - Revenue Allocation Area
- 13.5 Acres – Project Area
- Base Value: \$6.48 Million
- 2015 Value: \$27.4 Million
- Closure Date: 2015

The export industries within the Alturas Technology Park have a profound economic impact on the Moscow economy. As of the closure of the District, these companies had a total payroll of over \$6 million and paid an average wage of over \$50,000, which is significantly higher than the city's median household income of \$35,389. During that period, the park contributed an estimated adjusted impact of \$26.7 million to the local community.





On July 22, 2015, the Agency passed Resolution 2015-02 recommending to the Moscow City Council termination of the Alturas Technology Park revenue allocation area. Following this recommendation, the City Council passed Ordinance 2015-15 terminating the Alturas revenue allocation area. Therefore the Agency has not received any future tax increment revenues beyond the 2015 fiscal year. The Agency was pleased to be able to close the revenue allocation area a year ahead of the schedule and allow the tax revenues to return to the taxing districts as soon as possible.

The Agency has six (6) lots left for sale within the Alturas Technology Park. The lots range in size from 28,370/SF to 38,885/SF. During the development of Phase II of the Alturas Technology Park, the Agency placed additional land use restrictions upon the seven lots contained within Phase II.

After consideration of the lack of interest in the lots and the prior closure of the District, in 2020 the Agency amended the covenants to remove the land use restrictions, reappraised the lots in consideration of the use restriction removal and approved a competitive bidding process to advance the sale of the remaining lots. The first round of requests for bids resulted in two bids received and one lot being sold at 13 percent over the appraised value. Once the sale closes the Agency will return the net sale proceeds to the taxing district.




### LEGACY CROSSING URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICT

The Legacy Crossing District was created in June of 2008 and is the Agency's second urban renewal district. When formed in 2008, the Legacy Crossing District originally covered 163 acres and included a majority of the blighted and underutilized properties located between Moscow's historic downtown and the University of Idaho. The District was amended in 2018 to include an additional 6 acres that encompass a portion of Main Street, bringing the total acreage to 169 acres.

In 2019, development continued in the District including the Barley Flats Condominium project on A Street and several smaller projects. Increment valuation nearly doubled within the district between 2018 and 2019 as the prior \$40 Million of construction projects that were started in 2017 and 2018 reached completion and entered the tax rolls.

#### Legacy Crossing Fast Facts

- Established: 2008
- 169 Acres
- Base Value: \$47.76 Million
- 2019 Value: \$90.4 Million
- Closure Date: 2032



In 2010, the Agency purchased a parcel within the District located at the southwestern corner of the intersection of 6th and Jackson streets. The property was purchased to enhance opportunities to connect downtown Moscow to the University of Idaho campus. The Agency and the City of Moscow understood that the property was the keystone to connectivity between the University and downtown and to the development of Hello Walk.

The Agency applied for and was successful in obtaining an EPA cleanup grant in the amount of \$115,317. The EPA cleanup grant was utilized to conduct the actual remediation and monitoring of the property, which will allow for its reuse. The active cleanup construction was completed in the fall 2016. The Agency received its Certificate of Completion and Covenant Not to Sue from the State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality in April of 2017, clearing the way for future development on the site.

In response to an RFP issued in 2017, Sangria Downtown LLC was selected for the development of the property and entered into a Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) with the Agency. In May of 2018, Sangria terminated the DDA due to increased construction costs that rendered their project infeasible. The Agency published a new RFP and the Moscow Flatiron project submitted by Roderick D. Olps was selected for the property. The Agency entered into an Exclusive Negotiation Agreement with Mr. Olps on March 7, 2019. Phase I development plans were approved on October 3, 2019. The project was placed on hold for 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic, but is slated for construction in 2021.







### INVENTORY OF MURA OWNED PROPERTIES

District	Property Address	Parcel Number	SF /Acres	Planned Reuse	Sale and Development Status
Alturas	1362 Alturas Drive	RPM00270010020	29,412/SF	Fee Simple Sale	Advertised and Available for Sale
Alturas	1412 Alturas Drive	RPM00270010030	28,370/SF	Fee Simple Sale	Advertised and Available for Sale
Alturas	1425 Alturas Drive	RPM00270020040	38,885/SF	Fee Simple Sale	Sale Closing March 2021
Alturas	1383 Alturas Drive	RPM00270020030	36,997/SF	Fee Simple Sale	Advertised and Available for Sale
Alturas	1345 Alturas Drive	RPM00270020020	34,531/SF	Fee Simple Sale	Advertised and Available for Sale
Alturas	1293 Alturas Drive	RPM00270020010	35,029/SF	Fee Simple Sale	Advertised and Available for Sale
Legacy	Lot located at the southwestern corner of the intersection of 6 <sup>th</sup> and Jackson streets	RPM00000180025	0.87 Acres	Public pathway, public plaza, and future fee simple sale	Under ENA with Roderick D. Olps

As noted above, the Agency owns six (6) lots within the Alturas Technology Park District and one (1) lot within the Legacy Crossing District. One (1) of the Alturas lots is under contract for sale with closing in March of 2021. The remaining Alturas lots are actively marketed for sale through an on-going competitive bidding process. The Agency has entered into an ENA with Roderick D. Olps for the Sixth and Jackson Property.

### *Public Comments*

### *Legal Notice*

162137

**NOTICE OF  
PUBLIC HEARING  
MOSCOW URBAN RENEW-  
AL AGENCY (MURA)  
DRAFT ANNUAL REPORT  
FOR YEAR 2020**

As required by Idaho Code §50-2006(c), the Agency will consider for approval the draft annual report of the Agency's 2020 activities at their meeting on March 25, 2021 at 7:00 AM in the Council Chambers of Moscow City Hall at 206 E. Third Street. The report is available for inspection during business hours in the office of the City Clerk or on the Urban Renewal Agency's website: <http://moscowura.com/>. Written comments may be submitted to the Agency in advance of the meeting or may be presented at the meeting on March 25th.

As required by Idaho Code §50-2011(f), the annual report identifies the real property held by the Agency and sets forth the reasons such property remains unsold and indicates the Agency's plans for disposition of the real property, if necessary. If you have any questions or to submit comments, please contact MURA Executive Director Bill J. Belknap at (208) 883-7011 or [bbelknap@ci.moscow.id.us](mailto:bbelknap@ci.moscow.id.us).  
Publish: March 3, 2021

*FINANCIAL STATEMENTS*

### MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

#### STATEMENT OF NET POSITION September 30, 2020

	Governmental Activities
<b>ASSETS</b>	
Cash and investments	\$ 1,155,404
Receivables	2,547
Land held for sale	531,256
Other assets	5,260
Land	679,420
Total assets	<u>2,373,887</u>
<b>DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<u>0</u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>	
Accounts payable	58,227
Deposit payable	5,000
Series 2010 Bond - due within one year	32,000
Latah County payback agreement - due within one year	5,000
Series 2010 Bond - due after one year	227,000
Latah County payback agreement - due after one year	89,537
Total liabilities	<u>416,764</u>
<b>DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<u>0</u>
<b>NET POSITION</b>	
Net investment in capital assets	464,732
Restricted	
Debt service	44,312
Unrestricted	<u>1,448,079</u>
Total net position	<u>\$ 1,957,123</u>

### MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

#### STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES Year Ended September 30, 2020

		Program Revenues	Net Revenue (Expense) and Changes in Net Position
	Expenses	Operating Grants and Contributions	Governmental Activities
GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES			
Project administration	\$ 287,833		\$ (287,833)
Interest expense	10,004		(10,004)
Total governmental activities	<u>\$ 297,837</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>(297,837)</u>
GENERAL REVENUES			
Property taxes levied for general purposes			747,652
Investment income			11,255
Total general revenues			<u>758,907</u>
Change in net position			461,070
NET POSITION, beginning of year			<u>1,496,053</u>
NET POSITION, end of year			<u>\$ 1,957,123</u>

## MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

### BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS September 30, 2020

	General	Legacy Crossing District	Total
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and investments	\$ 150,572	\$ 1,004,832	\$ 1,155,404
Receivables	527	2,020	2,547
Other assets		5,260	5,260
Land held for sale	531,256		531,256
Total assets	<u>682,355</u>	<u>1,012,112</u>	<u>1,694,467</u>
<b>DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	<u>\$ 682,355</u>	<u>\$ 1,012,112</u>	<u>\$ 1,694,467</u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Accounts payable	\$ 58,227		\$ 58,227
Deposit payable	5,000		5,000
Total liabilities	<u>63,227</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>63,227</u>
<b>DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<b>FUND BALANCE</b>			
Nonspendable	531,256		531,256
Restricted for debt service		44,312	44,312
Assigned		967,800	967,800
Unassigned	87,872		87,872
Total fund balance	<u>619,128</u>	<u>1,012,112</u>	<u>1,631,240</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balance	<u>\$ 682,355</u>	<u>\$ 1,012,112</u>	<u>\$ 1,694,467</u>
<b>RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION TO THE BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>Total fund balance - Governmental Funds</b>			\$ 1,631,240
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:			
Capital assets used in governmental activities are financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds			679,420
Long-term liabilities, consisting of bonds payable and tax repayment agreement, are due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds			(353,537)
<b>Total net position - Governmental Activities</b>			<u>\$ 1,957,123</u>



### MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

#### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS Year Ended September 30, 2020

	General	Legacy Crossing District	Total
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Property taxes		\$ 747,652	\$ 747,652
Investment income	\$ 11,255		11,255
Total revenues	11,255	747,652	758,907
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>			
Current			
Legal and professional fees	6,450	4,084	10,534
Insurance	1,614		1,614
Maintenance	4,408		4,408
Advertising	244		244
Management services	50,648		50,648
Land incentive agreement		187,718	187,718
Development participation		28,387	28,387
Other administration expenses	363	3,917	4,280
Debt Service			
Principal retirement		34,500	34,500
Interest		10,004	10,004
Total expenditures	63,727	268,610	332,337
<b>EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES</b>	(52,472)	479,042	426,570
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)</b>			
Operating transfers	63,727	(63,727)	0
Total other financing sources (uses)	63,727	(63,727)	0
Net change in fund balances	11,255	415,315	426,570
<b>FUND BALANCES AT BEGINNING OF YEAR</b>	607,873	596,797	1,204,670
<b>FUND BALANCES AT END OF YEAR</b>	\$ 619,128	\$ 1,012,112	\$ 1,631,240

**MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO**

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,  
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO  
THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES  
Year Ended September 30, 2020

<b>Net change in fund balances - Governmental Funds</b>	\$ 426,570
---	------------

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

The issuance of long-term debt (e.g. bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of issuance costs, premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities:

Principal payments made on long-term debt

34,500

<b>Change in net position - Governmental Activities</b>	<u><u>\$ 461,070</u></u>
---	--------------------------

### MOSCOW URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, MOSCOW, IDAHO

#### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS GENERAL FUND

Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Budgeted Amounts Original and Final	Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Investment income	\$ 3,500	\$ 11,255	\$ 7,755
Total revenues	<u>3,500</u>	<u>11,255</u>	<u>7,755</u>
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>			
Current			
Legal and professional fees	10,500	6,450	4,050
Insurance	1,550	1,614	(64)
Maintenance	3,600	4,408	(808)
Advertising	750	244	506
Management services	50,648	50,648	0
Other administration expenses	138,210	363	137,847
Total expenditures	<u>205,258</u>	<u>63,727</u>	<u>141,531</u>
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>(201,758)</u>	<u>(52,472)</u>	<u>149,286</u>
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)</b>			
Proceeds from sale	135,210		(135,210)
Operating transfers	<u>60,718</u>	<u>63,727</u>	<u>3,009</u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>195,928</u>	<u>63,727</u>	<u>(132,201)</u>
Net change in fund balances	(5,830)	11,255	17,085
FUND BALANCES BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>5,830</u>	<u>607,873</u>	<u>602,043</u>
FUND BALANCES END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 619,128</u>	<u>\$ 619,128</u>

## MURA 5 YEAR PROJECT SUMMARY AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) serves as a general guiding document that identifies public investments that the Agency anticipates making over the upcoming 5 year period. It is intended to be a flexible planning document that is reviewed and updated on an annual basis in response to revenues received, changes to current identified projects, or to incorporate newly identified projects and improvements. The projects identified within the CIP do no include improvements that are funded through Owner Participation Agreements, wherein the developer funds the initial improvement and the Agency reimburses the developer for identified public improvements through increased tax revenues generated by the developer’s project.

Legacy Crossing District Capital Improvement Plan 2020-2025

Community Infrastructure Projects											
Project Name	Project Description	Project Cost	Agency Contribution	Construction Year	Status	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Street Projects											
Almon and First Street Repaving	Reconstruction of Almon Street between 3rd Street and A Street and First between Almon and Jackson	\$ 400,000	\$ 150,000	2020	Committed	\$ 150,000					
Almon Asbury Alley Paving Project	Paving and drainage for alley between Sixth and Third Street	\$ 85,000	\$ 60,000	2020	Committed	\$ 60,000					
First Street Paving	Curbing and paving of First Street between Asbury and Lieuallen	\$ 110,725	\$ 55,363	2022	Planned			\$ 55,363			
Fifth Street Paving	Cubing, sidewalks, paving and storm drainage Fourth Street, Lilly to Asbury	\$ 62,150	\$ 31,075	2022	Planned			\$ 31,075			
Henley Street Paving	Cubing, sidewalks, paving and storm drainage on Henley	\$ 161,250	\$ 80,625	2023	Planned				\$ 80,625		
Lieuallen Street Paving	Cubing, sidewalks, paving and storm drainage for Lieuallen, A to HWY 8	\$ 267,500	\$ 133,750	2024/2025	Planned					\$ 25,000	\$ 133,750
Lieuallen /Third/HWY 8 Intersection	Reconstruction and realignment of intersection to improve sight distance, merging issues, turning movements, and general traffic flow.	\$ 275,000	\$ 137,500	2024/2025	Planned					\$ 25,000	\$ 137,500
District Pavement Improvements		Varies	Varies	TBD	Planned						
Water Projects											
A Street Water Main Replacement	A Street water main replacement Almon to Asbury	\$ 60,000	\$ 30,000	2020	Committed	\$ 30,000					
A Street Water Main Replacement	A Street water main replacement Asbury to Lieuallen	\$ 145,000	\$ 72,500	2023	Planned				\$ 72,500		
District Fire Hydrant Replacement	Replacement of fire hydrants in excess of 50 years old	Varies	Varies	TBD	Planned		\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
Sanitary Sewer Projects											
Sanitary Sewer Manhole Replacements	Replacement of aged brick or block sewer manholes with new precast manholes to reduce amount of infiltration and inflow	Varies	Varies	Varies	Planned			\$ 25,000			
Community Infrastructure Projects Total		\$ 400,000	\$ 2,173,313		Planned	\$ 240,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 121,438	\$ 163,125	\$ 60,000	\$ 281,250
Streetscape Enhancement Projects											
Project Name	Project Description	Project Cost	Agency Contribution	Construction Year	Status	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
A Street Frontage Improvement	50% contribution to Thompson Frontage Improvement on A Street	\$ 30,000	\$ 15,000	2020	Committed	\$ 15,000					
3rd Street Corridor Lighting & Sidewalk Improvements	Sidewalk reconstruction on Third Street between Lieuallen and Jackson Street	\$ 887,000	\$ 199,500	2020/2021	Committed	\$ 112,500	\$ 87,000				
Sixth Street Corridor Lighting	Decorative lighting fixture installations on Sixth Street Deakin and Jackson Street	\$ 140,000	\$ 20,000	2021	Committed		\$ 16,000				
Downtown Streetscape Improvements	Work includes curbs, gutter, sidewalk, street, lighting and street furnishing improvements	\$ 4,750,000	\$ 3,000,000	2022/2023	Planned			\$ 3,000,000			
General Streetscape Improvements	General Streetscape enhancement projects within the District	\$ 500,000	Varies	Varies	Planned	\$ 15,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 25,000
Streetscape Enhancement Projects Total		\$ 6,791,674	\$ 3,567,932			\$ 142,500	\$ 203,000	\$ 3,015,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 25,000
Community Placemaking Projects											
Project Name	Project Description	Project Cost	Agency Contribution	Construction Year	Status	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
North Main Beautification Project	Landscaping and lighting improvements near A and Main	\$ 150,000	\$ 50,000	2020	Planned	\$ 50,000					
Ghormley Park Facility Improvements	Reconstruction of deteriorated tennis courts for pickle ball	\$ 240,000	\$ 120,000	2021	Committed		\$ 125,000				
South Couplet Beautification Project	Streetscape and landscape enhancements per the 2015 City Beautification Plan	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	2023	Planned				\$ 100,000		
Public Art Installation	Public Art installations in various locations	Varies	Varies	Various	Committed	\$ 20,000		\$ 25,000		\$ 25,000	
Community Placemaking Projects Total		\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000			\$ 70,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 25,000	\$ -
Special Projects											
Project Name	Project Description	Project Cost	Agency Contribution	Construction Year	Status	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Downtown Streetscape Plan	Development of design plan for the repair and replacement of deteriorating downtown public infrastructure in the Legacy Crossing District	\$ 120,000	\$ 60,000	2020	Committed	\$ 60,000					
Sixth and Jackson Property Development	Hello Walk construction at Sixth and Jackson Property	\$ 185,000	\$ 185,000	2020	Committed		\$ 185,000				
First to Lieuallen Pathway Project	Construction of pedestrian/bicycle pathway along First Street alignment between Almon and Lieuallen Street to Crossing at Highway 8 Phase II Lilly to Lieuallen	\$ 68,500	\$ 68,500	2022	Planned			\$ 68,500			
South Main Underpass Construction	Construction of pedestrian underpass of South Main at Paradise Creek	\$ 650,000	\$ 200,000	2023	Planned				\$ 250,000		
Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements	Development and construction of various pedestrian and bicycle pathways, facilities and lighting	Varies	Varies	Varies	Planned			\$ 80,000			
Special Projects Total		\$ 1,816,346	\$ 631,996			\$ 60,000	\$ 185,000	\$ 148,500	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -
Annual Investments											
Projected District Investment Portfolio						2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Community Infrastructure Projects			\$	534,563		\$ 240,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 121,438	\$ 163,125	\$ 60,000	\$ 281,250
Streetscape Enhancement Projects			\$	3,390,500		\$ 142,500	\$ 203,000	\$ 3,015,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 25,000
Community Placemaking Projects			\$	320,000		\$ 70,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 25,000	\$ -
Special Projects			\$	702,146		\$ 60,000	\$ 185,000	\$ 148,500	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -
Total			\$	4,947,209		\$ 512,500	\$ 523,000	\$ 3,309,938	\$ 528,125	\$ 100,000	\$ 306,250
Legacy Ending Fund Balance						\$291,126	\$213,212	\$427,688	\$81,932	\$173,264	\$67,491

